



**Advancing Circular Economy and Sustainability in Rural Business Activities. Skills for the Future
(CERAS)**

2025-1-RO01-KA220-HED-000361049

**Focus Group 1 – Meeting record
September 21st, 2026, 12.00 hrs, Bacău, Romania**

December 15th

12:00 – 12:10 – WELCOME AND OPENING

- * Overview of the meeting agenda and objectives

Assoc. Prof Cerasela Crișan shortly presents the meeting agenda and the objectives of the project.

No modifications were proposed, so the agenda was accepted.

12:10 – 12:25 - PROJECT OVERVIEW

- * Summary of project goals, target groups, work packages, and expected impact

Prof. Elena Nechita and Assoc. Prof Cerasela Crișan present the Working Packages, each with activities.

- * Summary of WP2 goals, activities, results, and expected impact

Presentation of the WP2 shows the activities unfolded under the DUTH lead, and the results already obtained. The Romanian team involved in WP2 activities presents the work and collaboration with other partners.

12:25 – 12:40 – WP3 PRESENTATION

The platform has educational content.

The content is:

- Public, with the project development and results
- Private, with indicators and online and instant computation of each target organization's score from the CE point-of view.

Data protection (GDPR compliance): the EU data protection rules are mandatory.

Ethical guidelines for project implementation: the project is promoting inclusion, diversity, non-discrimination, and respect for EU values.

The participants consider the proposal as a very good approach, with clear and complete interface.

12:40 – 13:00 - FEEDBACK & CLOSING

* Open discussion and Q&A

Final questions were addressed, and the solutions were agreed upon by all the participants.

* Evaluation of the meeting

The statistics of the responses to the questionnaire - 100% good and very good.

4 Questions (1 to 5 scale):

- **How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the communication done in WP2?**
- **To what extent were the tasks in WP2 clearly defined?**
- **How satisfied were you with the communication and collaboration between project partners during WP2?**
- **Was there enough time in WP2 for discussions and decision-making?**

Best evaluation: 5-5-5-5.

Worst evaluation: 5-5-5-4.

Average evaluation: 5-5-5-4.91.

Suggestions:

- **A platform is beneficial for better collaboration.**
- **A method for announcing future online meetings long-ahead (maybe a shared calendar).**
- **More physical meetings would be good, as they are more productive.**

* Official closing

Pictures taken.



